

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,860	02/27/2004	William C. Barlow	LOT920040015US1 (046)	7207	
46321 CAREY, ROD	7590 08/24/200 RIGUEZ, GREENBER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
STEVEN M. C	REENBERG	GUPTA, M	GUPTA, MUKTESH G		
SUITE 3020	LA CORPORATE CIR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOCA RATO	N, FL 33487		2444		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/788,860	BARLOW, WILLIAM C.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Muktesh G. Gupta	2444	

		Muktesh G. Gupta	2444	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REI	PLY FILED 24 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
apı apı for	» reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oblication, applicant must timely file one of the following olication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Crods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) 🗖 b) 🛭	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.072	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have beer under 37 set forth in may reduce	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date n filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the sr (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later oe any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
2. The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ig the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X Th (a) (b)	be proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. They raise new issues that would require further corolling they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);	
4. 🔲 Th	They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 policant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
6. Ne	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	
hov The Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) when ew or amended claims would be rejected is proves the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: lim(s) allowed: lim(s) objected to: lim(s) allowed: lim(s) objected to: lim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 6-17. lim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
8. 🏻 The	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
9. The ent sho	s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  service of the evidence filed after the date of filing the date of	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🔲 TI	he request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( ther:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The issue of "policy manager executing in memory by a processor of a general purpose computing system, the policy manager being coupled to at least two different Web conferencing platforms over a computer communications network, said policy manager compising a set of computer program instructions that when executed by the processor having a configuration for processing process a policy set forth in a policy document and for processing process a request for a Web conferencing from a communicatively linked end user to select one of said Web conferencing platforms to host said Web conference" changes scope of the claims as originally presented and will require further search and consideration.

/LaShonda T Jacobs/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457